

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/523,585 03/10/2000 Christopher G M Ken 290252020501 5888 23639 7590 12/04/2003 EXAMINER BINGHAM, MCCUTCHEN LLP PANTUCK, BRADFORD C THREE EMBARCADERO, SUITE 1800 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4067 3731 DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*					
σ,		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/523,585	5	KEN ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Bradford C		3731	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on th	cov r sheet with the c	orrespondence address:	
THE - Extermited after - If the - If NC - Failure - Any F	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever eply within the statut od will apply and will tute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	<u>/29/03</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	2b) This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-45</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>31-45</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	quirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
•	The specification is objected to by the Exami				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03/10/2000</u> is/are: a				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr				
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action of form PTO-15	۷.
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
* (13)⊠ / s 3 4 14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Burd See the attached detailed Office action for a lacknowledgment is made of a claim for dome ince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence of	ents have beer ents have beer riority docume eau (PCT Rule ist of the certifestic priority un first sentence provisional appestic priority un	n received. n received in Application ts have been received in 17.2(a)). ned copies not received ader 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) of the specification of the specifi	cion No red in this National Stage red. red. red: red:	cation) Sheet. cific
Attachmer					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>

Application/Control Number: 09/523,585

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 31-33, 35, and 39-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,354,295 to Guglielmi et al.

Regarding Claims 31 and 45, Guglielmi discloses in Fig. 3 a retainer deliverable via a tubular device (44) comprising a core wire (42) and a joint (54), which is electrolytically severable upon application of a current (col. 5, lines 44-47 and col. 6, lines 19-20). Joint 54, is made out of gold [Column 10, lines 65-67] and extends between the distal end of the core wire (46) and at least one array element (56) [see Fig. 4; Column 9, lines 12-17]. The joint (54) is a *soldered joint*—connecting the core wire (54) to an array element (56) [Column 9, lines 12-17]. Soldered joints are particularly susceptible to electrical currents (i.e. heat), and will dissolve after the application of current [Column 9, lines 56-64]. As this soldered joint (54) dissolves, core wire (54) will separate from array element (56), but inevitably some of the soldering material (gold) will remain on the core wire (56) and some will remain on array element (56). Thus, the *retainer assembly (56/58) will include a residual amount of the gold joint* after the electrolytic severance from the core wire.

Guglielmi discloses a vaso-occlusive device (58) [see Figures 3 and 4], which is a part of his retainer system. Applicant refers to vaso-occlusive devices as "useful in filling vascular or other body spaces" [Specification, p. 1]. Vaso-occlusive device (58) takes up space within the aneurysm, as shown in Figure 4.

In Figures 7 and 8, the retainer assembly has a first shape when retained within the tubular device (col. 4, lines 33-37) and a second shape when retainer assembly is not retained in tubular device (col. 4, lines 44-46) wherein at least one array element extends outwardly from the joint in the second shape. In Fig. 5, the second shape is configured for retaining a vaso-occlusive device in an aneurysm. A coil can be introduced with devices such as the device of Guglielmi (see Abstract of U.S. Patent No. 5,639,277 to Mariant et al.).

- 2. Regarding Claim 32, Guglielmi discloses the core wire covered with an electrical insulation layer (col. 6, lines 20-21).
- 3. Regarding Claim 33, Guglielmi discloses at least one array element comprising platinum (col. 7, lines 55-60).
- 4. Regarding Claim 35, Guglielmi discloses at least one array element comprising stainless steel (col. 7, line 35).
- 5. Regarding Claim 39, Guglielmi discloses in Figure 4 that when the retainer assembly is in the second deployed shape, at least one array element terminates from the joint (54). In Figure 3, the array element (56) terminates at the joint.
- 6. Regarding Claims 40 and 41, Guglielmi discloses a retainer assembly (56/58) with a distal end (58) and a proximal end (proximal end of wire 56) [see Fig. 4]. That

Application/Control Number: 09/523,585 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

proximal end of wire (56) has a residual joint (54), as described above with reference to Claims 31 and 45. The residual joint (54) consists of a soldered metal, which will remain on the proximal end of retainer assembly (56/58) after breaking apart from core wire (46). The soldered metal will be both *distal to the proximal deployed end* and *on the proximal deployed end*, since it coats the proximal end and will extend somewhat up the proximal end of the wire.

- 7. Regarding Claim 42, Guglielmi discloses in Figure 4 the proximal deployed end is distal to the proximal delivery end when the retainer is in the second deployed shape.
- 8. Regarding Claim 43, Guglielmi discloses in Figures 4 and 5 the secondary deployed shape approximating the shape of a vascular aneurysm.
- 9. Regarding Claim 44, Guglielmi discloses in Figures 1A and 4 the retainer assembly enclosing a volume and wherein the retainer contains a helically wound vaso-occlusive device (col. 9, lines 21-23). A coil can also be introduced wherein the device of Guglielmi is capable of retaining the coil. It is well known to introduce coils with devices such as the device of Guglielmi et al. (see Abstract of U.S. Patent No. 5,639,277 to Mariant et al.).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 3731

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 34 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,354,295 to Guglielmi et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,639,277 to Mariant et al.

- 10. Regarding Claims 34, 37, and 38, Guglielmi discloses an implantable retainer but does not disclose at least one array element comprising tantalum. Mariant et al., however, disclose an analogous device using a radio-opaque material such as tantalum (col. 1, lines 53-55; col. 4, lines 13-18) in its composition. The use of tantalum is advantageous because of its radio-paque property, which allows one to observe and monitor the device's position [Column 3, line 67 to Column 4, line 2]. Guglielmi teaches putting the radio-opaque material inside of the device and *covering the device with the radio-opaque material* [Column 4, lines 13-18]. It is well known to make intra-venous catheters and implants out of radio-paque material (or to coat the device with such a material) for observation and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make an array element of Guglielmi et al. out of a radio-opaque material, such as tantalum, as taught by Mariant et al. because this allows the array element to be supervised from outside the body.
- Regarding Claim 36, Guglielmi discloses an implantable retainer, but does not disclose at least one array element comprising a super-elastic alloy. Mariant, however, discloses an analogous device comprised of a variety of materials. In Column 3, lines 66-67 and Column 4, lines 1-3 and 13-15, Mariant discloses alloys

and elastic polymers such as polyethylene as being suitable materials for composing an array element. These materials are advantageous because they are biocompatible and flexible, which are both necessary for forming a vaso-occlusion. It is well known to compose an array element used in a blood vessel of a super-elastic alloy and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to compose the array element of Guglielmi from a super-elastic alloy as in Mariant so that the array element would better conform to the vessel and be biocompatible.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradford C Pantuck whose telephone number is (703) 305-8621. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/523,585 Page 7

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

BCP

MICHAEL J. MILANO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700